

NEW MEXICO ENVIRONMENT DEPARTMENT

Harold Runnels Building 1190 South St. Francis Drive (87505) P.O. Box 5469, Santa Fe, NM 87502-5469 Phone (505) 827-0187 Fax (505) 827-0160 www.env.nm.gov



BUTCH TONGATE Cabinet Secretary

J. C. BORREGO Deputy Secretary

Certified Mail - Return Receipt Requested

June 21, 2018

Ms. Maria Gilvarry Utilities Director 905 12th St Las Vegas NM 87701

Re: City of Las Vegas Water Treatment Plant; Minor Industrial; SIC 4941; NPDES Compliance Evaluation Inspection; NPDES # NM0030341; June 4, 2018

Dear Ms.Gilvarry:

Enclosed please find a copy of the report and check list for the referenced inspection that the New Mexico Environment Department (NMED) conducted at your facility on behalf of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). This inspection report will be sent to the USEPA in Dallas for their review. These inspections are used by USEPA to determine compliance with the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permitting program in accordance with requirements of the federal Clean Water Act.

Further explanations and problems noted during this inspection are discussed on the completed form and checklist of this inspection report. Introduction, treatment scheme, and problems noted during this inspection are discussed in the "Further Explanations" section of the inspection report.

You are encouraged to review the inspection report, required to correct any problems noted during the inspection, and advised to modify your operational and/or administrative procedures, as appropriate. If you have comments on or concerns with the basis for the findings in the NMED inspection report, please contact us (see the address below) in writing within 30 days from the date of this letter. Further, you are encouraged to notify in writing both the USEPA and NMED regarding modifications and compliance schedules at the addresses below:

NPDES Enforcement Coordinator Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6 NPDES Enforcement Branch (6EN-WM) 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200 Dallas, Texas 75202-2733 Program Manager New Mexico Environment Department Surface Water Quality Bureau (N2050) Point Source Regulation Section P.O. Box 5469 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87502 City of Las Vegas Water Treatment Plant, NPDES # NM0030341 June 21, 2018 Page 2 of 2

David Long (Long.David@epa.gov) is USEPA Region 6's Acting NPDES Enforcement Coordinator at the above address. If you have any questions about this inspection report, please contact Jennifer Foote at (505)827-0596 or at Jennifer.Foote@state.nm.us.

Sincerely,

/s/ Sarah Holcomb

Sarah Holcomb Program Manager Point Source Regulation Section Surface Water Quality Bureau

cc: Carol Peters-Wagnon, USEPA (6EN-WM) by e-mail David Long, USEPA (6EN-WM) by e-mail Nancy Williams, USEPA (6EN-WC) by e-mail Amy Andrews, USEPA (6EN-WM) by e-mail David Esparza, USEPA (6EN-WM) by e-mail Brent Larsen, USEPA (6WQ-PP)

Robert Italiano, NMED District II by e-mail Don Cole, City of Las Vegas by e-mail

Form Approved OMB No. 2040-0003 Approval Expires 7-31-85



NPDES Compliance Inspection Report

| | Section A: National Data System Coding | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------|-------------------|----------|------------------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|--------|-----------------|---------|----------------|---------|---|----------------------|-------|--------------|-------|-------|----|--|---|
| Transaction Code | | | | 1 | | | | | . | | | | | | nspec. Type Inspector Fac Type C 19 S 20 1 | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | 3 4 | 4 1 11 12 1 Remarks | | | | 8 0 6 0 4 17 | | | 18 | 18 C 19 S 20 1 | | | | 1 | J | | | | | |
| | M I N O R I | 1 | N D U | s T | r R | I | A | L | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |] |
| | Inspection Work Days | ion Ratin | ng | | BI | 1 1 | QA I | | - I I | I | I | | I | Reser | ved- | | | I | I | I | | | |
| 67 69 70 3 71 N | | | | | | | 72 | N | 73 | | | 74 | 75 | | | | | | | | 80 | | |
| Section B: Facility Data | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| POT City | Name and Location of Facility Inspected (For industrial users discharging to POTW, also include POTW name and NPDES permit number) City of Las Vegas Water Treatment Plant, 3390 Hot Springs Road (NM 65), Montezuma, San Entry Time /Date 12:10 pm 6/4/18 9/1/2017 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fron | uel County, New Mexico. n I-25, take exit 343, turn left onto G Hot Springs Road, and continue app of road. | | | | | | | | Exit 7 | | /Date 6/4/18 | | | | | | | Expi 2022 | | on Da | te | | |
| Nan | ne(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Ti n Cole/WTP Manager/505-429-9181/ | | | | r(s) | | | | | | | | | | Oth | er Fac | ility | / Dat | a | | | | |
| וויע | 11 Co.6/ W 11 Wanage1/303-427-9101/ | , acoic | e iasvegasiiili.g | 50 V | | | | - | | | | | | | | ΓN. 3 NG W | | | | 1" | | | |
| Nan | me, Address of Responsible Official/Tit | tle/Pho | one and Fax Nun | nber | | | | | | | a . | | | | | : 4941 | 11 | 05 1 | 10 3 | 1 | | | |
| | Maria Gilvarry/Utilities Director/ 50 12 TH St, Las Vegas, NM 87701 |)5-454 | -1401 ex: 3256 | | | | | | Yes | | Cont | No No | х | | SIC | 4941 | | | | | | | |
| | | | (S = Sat | | ion C: Ar , M = Ma | | | | | | | valua | ted) | | • | | | | | | | | |
| M | Permit | U | Flow Measur | ement | | | M | Oper | rations | 8 & N | Iainte | nanc | e | | N | cso | /SS | o | | | | | |
| S | Records/Reports | U | Self-Monito | ring Pro | ogram | | N | Slud | Program N Sludge Handling/Disposal | | | | | | N | Pollution Prevention | | | | | | | |
| S Facility Site Review N Compliance School | | | | | N Pretreatment N | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | i - | Schedule | es | | | i | | | | | | | | Mult | | edia | | | | | |
| N | Facility Site Review Effluent/Receiving Waters | N | Laboratory | | | ss/Com | N | Stor | m Wa | ter | al che | ests if | nece | ccorv) | N N | Mult | | edia | | | | | |
| N | | N | i - | | | s/Com | N | Stor | m Wa | ter | al she | ets if | nece | ssary) | | | | edia | | | | | |
| N | Effluent/Receiving Waters | N | Laboratory | | | s/Com | N | Stor | m Wa | ter | aal she | ets if | nece | ssary) | | | | edia | | | | | |
| N | Effluent/Receiving Waters | N | Laboratory | | | s/Com | N | Stor | m Wa | ter | al she | ets if | nece | ssary) | | | | edia | | | | | |
| N | Effluent/Receiving Waters | N | Laboratory | | | ss/Com | N | Stor | m Wa | ter | al she | ets if | nece | ssary) | | | | edia | | | | | |
| N | Effluent/Receiving Waters | N | Laboratory | | | s/Com | N | Stor | m Wa | ter | al she | eets if | nece | ssary) | | | | edia | | | | | |
| N | Effluent/Receiving Waters | N | Laboratory | | | s/Com | N | Stor | m Wa | ter | al she | eets if | nece | ssary) | | | | edia | | | | | |
| N See | Effluent/Receiving Waters | N | Laboratory | nmary of | | | N | Stor s (Atta | m Wa | ter | al she | eets if | nece | ssary) | | | er: | edia | | | | | |
| N See | Effluent/Receiving Waters e attached sheets for further details. | N | Laboratory | nmary of | f Finding | office/I | N nment | Stor s (Atta | m Wa | ter | al she | eets if | nece | sssary) | | Othe | er: | | | | | | |
| N See | Effluent/Receiving Waters e attached sheets for further details. me(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s | N | Laboratory | nmary of | f Finding | office/I | N nment | Stor s (Atta | m Wa | ter | al she | eets if | nece | sssary) | | Othe | er: | | | | | | |
| N See | Effluent/Receiving Waters e attached sheets for further details. me(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s | N N | Laboratory | A A | f Finding | Office/I | N Teleph 505-82 | Stors (Atta | m Wa ch add | dition | | eets if | nece | sssary) | | Othe | er:e | | | | | | |

EPA Form 3560-3 (Rev. 9-94) Previous editions are obsolete.

| SECTION A - PERMIT VERIFICATION | |
|--|--|
| PERMIT SATISFACTORILY ADDRESSES OBSERVATIONS | PLANATION ATTACHED <u>YES</u>) |
| 1. CORRECT NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS OF PERMITTEE | ⊠ Y □ N □ NA |
| 2. NOTIFICATION GIVEN TO EPA/STATE OF NEW DIFFERENT OR INCREASED DISCHARGES | \square Y \square N \boxtimes NA |
| 3. NUMBER AND LOCATION OF DISCHARGE POINTS AS DESCRIBED IN PERMIT | \square Y \boxtimes N \square NA |
| 4. ALL DISCHARGES ARE PERMITTED | \boxtimes Y \square N \square NA |
| SECTION B - RECORDKEEPING AND REPORTING EVALUATION | |
| RECORDS AND REPORTS MAINTAINED AS REQUIRED BY PERMIT. S S M U NA (FURTHER EX DETAILS: In July 2015, EPA sent 308 information request for DMRs not submitted 2012-2015. DMRs (no discharge) are now being | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 1. ANALYTICAL RESULTS CONSISTENT WITH DATA REPORTED ON DMRs. Emergency discharge has not occurred. | \boxtimes Y \square N \square NA |
| 2. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES DATA ADEQUATE AND INCLUDE. | \square S \square M \square U \boxtimes NA |
| a) DATES, TIME(S) AND LOCATION(S) OF SAMPLING | \square Y \square N \boxtimes NA |
| b) NAME OF INDIVIDUAL PERFORMING SAMPLING | \square Y \square N \boxtimes NA |
| c) ANALYTICAL METHODS AND TECHNIQUES. | \square Y \square N \boxtimes NA |
| d) RESULTS OF ANALYSES AND CALIBRATIONS. | \square Y \square N \boxtimes NA |
| e) DATES AND TIMES OF ANALYSES. | \square Y \square N \boxtimes NA |
| f) NAME OF PERSON(S) PERFORMING ANALYSES. | \square Y \square N \boxtimes NA |
| 3. LABORATORY EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE RECORDS ADEQUATE. | \square S \square M \square U \boxtimes NA |
| 4. PLANT RECORDS INCLUDE SCHEDULES, DATES OF EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR | \square S \square M \square U \boxtimes NA |
| 5. EFFLUENT LOADINGS CALCULATED USING DAILY EFFLUENT FLOW AND DAILY ANALYTICAL DATA. | □ Y □ N ⊠ NA |
| SECTION C - OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE | |
| TREATMENT FACILITY PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED. \square S \boxtimes M \square U \square NA (Further exidents). | PLANATION ATTACHED <u>YES</u>) |
| 1. TREATMENT UNITS PROPERLY OPERATED. | \boxtimes S \square M \square U \square NA |
| 2. TREATMENT UNITS PROPERLY MAINTAINED. | \square S \boxtimes M \square U \square NA |
| 3. STANDBY POWER OR OTHER EQUIVALENT PROVIDED. | \boxtimes S \square M \square U \square NA |
| 4. ADEQUATE ALARM SYSTEM FOR POWER OR EQUIPMENT FAILURES AVAILABLE. | \boxtimes S \square M \square U \square NA |
| 5. ALL NEEDED TREATMENT UNITS IN SERVICE. | \boxtimes S \square M \square U \square NA |
| 6. ADEQUATE NUMBER OF QUALIFIED OPERATORS PROVIDED. | \boxtimes S \square M \square U \square NA |
| 7. SPARE PARTS AND SUPPLIES INVENTORY MAINTAINED. | \boxtimes S \square M \square U \square NA |
| 8. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE MANUAL AVAILABLE. STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES AND SCHEDULES ESTABLISHED. | □ Y ⋈ N □ NA □ Y ⋈ N □ NA |
| PROCEDURES FOR EMERGENCY TREATMENT CONTROL ESTABLISHED. | \square Y \boxtimes N \square NA |

| SECTION C - OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE (CONT'D) | |
|---|--|
| 9. HAVE BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS OCCURRED AT THE PLANT OR IN THE COLLECTION SYSTEM IN THE LAST YEAR? IF SO, HAS THE REGULATORY AGENCY BEEN NOTIFIED? HAS CORRECTIVE ACTION BEEN TAKEN TO PREVENT ADDITIONAL BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS? | □ Y ⋈ N □ NA □ Y □ N ⋈ NA □ Y □ N ⋈ NA |
| 10.HAVE ANY HYDRAULIC OVERLOADS OCCURRED AT THE TREATMENT PLANT? IF SO, DID PERMIT VIOLATIONS OCCUR AS A RESULT? | □ Y □ N ⊠ NA □ Y □ N ⊠ NA |
| SECTION D - SELF-MONITORING | |
| PERMITTEE SELF-MONITORING MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS. □ S □ M ⋈ U □ NA (FURTHER EXPLANATION A DETAILS: Location for taking samples has not been established. | TTACHED <u>Yes</u>). |
| 1. SAMPLES TAKEN AT SITE(S) SPECIFIED IN PERMIT. | \square Y \boxtimes N \square NA |
| 2. LOCATIONS ADEQUATE FOR REPRESENTATIVE SAMPLES. | \square Y \square N \boxtimes NA |
| 3. FLOW PROPORTIONED SAMPLES OBTAINED WHEN REQUIRED BY PERMIT. | \square Y \square N \boxtimes NA |
| 4. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES COMPLETED ON PARAMETERS SPECIFIED IN PERMIT. | \square Y \square N \boxtimes NA |
| 5. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES PERFORMED AT FREQUENCY SPECIFIED IN PERMIT. | \square Y \square N \boxtimes NA |
| 6. SAMPLE COLLECTION PROCEDURES ADEQUATE | \square Y \square N \boxtimes NA |
| a) SAMPLES REFRIGERATED DURING COMPOSITING. | \square Y \square N \boxtimes NA |
| b) PROPER PRESERVATION TECHNIQUES USED. | \square Y \square N \boxtimes NA |
| c) CONTAINERS AND SAMPLE HOLDING TIMES CONFORM TO 40 CFR 136.3. | \square Y \square N \boxtimes NA |
| 7. IF MONITORING AND ANALYSES ARE PERFORMED MORE OFTEN THAN REQUIRED BY PERMIT, ARE THE RESULTS REPORTED IN PERMITTEE'S SELF-MONITORING REPORT? | □Y□N ⊠NA |
| SECTION E - FLOW MEASUREMENT | |
| PERMITTEE FLOW MEASUREMENT MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS. □ S □ M ⊠ U □ NA (FURTHER EXPLANATION ATTOC DETAILS: | TACHED <u>Yes</u>) |
| PRIMARY FLOW MEASUREMENT DEVICE PROPERLY INSTALLED AND MAINTAINED. TYPE OF DEVICE | □ Y ⋈ N □ NA |
| 2. FLOW MEASURED AT EACH OUTFALL AS REQUIRED. | \square Y \boxtimes N \square NA |
| 3. SECONDARY INSTRUMENTS (TOTALIZERS, RECORDERS, ETC.) PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED. | \square Y \square N \boxtimes NA |
| 4. CALIBRATION FREQUENCY ADEQUATE. (DATE OF LAST CALIBRATION) RECORDS MAINTAINED OF CALIBRATION PROCEDURES. CALIBRATION CHECKS DONE TO ASSURE CONTINUED COMPLIANCE. | □ Y □ N ⋈ NA □ Y □ N ⋈ NA □ Y □ N ⋈ NA |
| 5. FLOW ENTERING DEVICE WELL DISTRIBUTED ACROSS THE CHANNEL AND FREE OF TURBULENCE. | \square Y \square N \boxtimes NA |
| 6. HEAD MEASURED AT PROPER LOCATION. | □ Y □ N ⋈ NA |
| 7. FLOW MEASUREMENT EQUIPMENT ADEQUATE TO HANDLE EXPECTED RANGE OF FLOW RATES. | □ Y □ N ⊠ NA |
| SECTION F – LABORATORY | |
| PERMITTEE LABORATORY PROCEDURES MEET PERMIT REQUIREMENTS. □ S □ M □ U ⋈ NA (FURTHER EXPLANATION ATTAINED STATEMENTS) DETAILS: Facility is not prepared to collect samples. | ACHED <u>No</u>) |
| 1. EPA APPROVED ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES USED (40 CFR 136.3 FOR LIQUIDS, 503.8(b) FOR SLUDGES) | \square Y \square N \boxtimes NA |

| OROMANA TIT | OD LEODY (CONT. | ID) | | | | | |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|---|--------------|
| SECTION F - LAI | BORATORY (CONT | 'ע) | | | | | |
| 2. IF ALTERNATIVE | ANALYTICAL PROCE | DURES ARE USED, PRO | OPER APPROVAL HAS | BEEN OBTAINED | | \square Y \square N | ⊠ NA |
| 3. SATISFACTORY C | CALIBRATION AND MA | AINTENANCE OF INST | RUMENTS AND EQUIP | MENT. | | \Box S \Box M \Box U | ⊠ NA |
| 4. QUALITY CONTR | OL PROCEDURES ADE | EQUATE. | | | | \Box S \Box M \Box U | ⊠ NA |
| 5. DUPLICATE SAM | PLES ARE ANALYZED. | % OF THE TIME. | | | | \square Y \square N | ⊠ NA |
| 6. SPIKED SAMPLES | ARE ANALYZED | % OF THE TIME. | | | | \square Y \square N | ⊠ NA |
| 7. COMMERCIAL LA | BORATORY USED. | | | | | □ y □ n | ⊠ NA |
| LAB NAME | | | | | | | |
| LAB ADDRESS | | | | | | | |
| PARAMETERS PER | RFORMED | | | | | | |
| SECTION G - EFI | FLUENT/RECEIVIN | IG WATERS OBSER | VATIONS. | S □ M □ U ⊠ NA | (FURTHER EXPLANATION | ATTACHED NO). | |
| OUTFALL NO. | OIL SHEEN | GREASE | TURBIDITY | VISIBLE FOAM | FLOAT SOL. | COLOR | OTHER |
| 001 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | No discharge |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| RECEIVING WATER | OBSERVATIONS | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| SECTION H - SLU | UDGE DISPOSAL | | | | | | |
| SLUDGE DISPOSA | L MEETS PERMIT REQ | UIREMENTS. | Г |] S □ M □ U ⊠ N | A (FURTHER EXPLANATIO | ON ATTACHED NO). | |
| | discharged to the sanitary | | | | | | |
| 1. SLUDGE MANAG | GEMENT ADEQUATE T | ΓΟ MAINTAIN EFFLUE | NT QUALITY. | | | \square S \square M \square U \square | ⊠ NA |
| 2. SLUDGE RECOR | DS MAINTAINED AS R | REQUIRED BY 40 CFR 5 | 03. | | | \square S \square M \square U \square | ⊠ NA |
| 3. FOR LAND APPL | LIED SLUDGE, TYPE OI | F LAND APPLIED TO: _ | (e.g., FOR | EST, AGRICULTURAL | , PUBLIC CONTACT SI | ГЕ) | |
| SECTION I - SA | MPLING INSPECTI | ON PROCEDURES | (FURTHER EXPLANATIO | N ATTACHED <u>NO</u>). | | | |
| 1. SAMPLES OBTA | INED THIS INSPECTIO | N. | | | | \square Y \square N | ⊠ NA |
| 2. TYPE OF SAMPL GRAB | | MPOSITE SAMPLE | METHOD FR | EQUENCY | | | |
| 3. SAMPLES PRESE | ERVED. | | | | | \square Y \square N | ⊠ NA |
| 4. FLOW PROPORT | TONED SAMPLES OBT | 'AINED. | | | | □ Y □ N | ⊠ NA |
| 5. SAMPLE OBTAIL | NED FROM FACILITY'S | S SAMPLING DEVICE. | | | | \square Y \square N | |
| | | ME AND MATURE OF D | DISCHARGE | | | \square Y \square N | |
| | | THE MIATURE OF L | NOCTH INCL. | | | _ | |
| 7. SAMPLE SPLIT V | VITH PERMITTEE. | | | | | | |
| | CODY PROCEDURES EN | | | | | | |
| 9. SAMPLES COLL | ECTED IN ACCORDAN | CE WITH PERMIT. | | | | \square Y \square N | △ NA |

Compliance Evaluation Inspection City of Las Vegas Water Treatment Plant NPDES Permit No. NM0030341 Inspection Date: June 4, 2018 Further Explanations

INTRODUCTION:

On June 4, 2018, Jennifer Foote of the New Mexico Environment Department (NMED), Surface Water Quality Bureau (SWQB) conducted a Compliance Evaluation Inspection at the City of Las Vegas Water Treatment Plant at 3390 Hot Springs Boulevard, New Mexico State Highway (NM) 65, in Montezuma, San Miguel County, New Mexico. The Las Vegas WTP has a design flow capacity of 5 MGD (million gallons per day) with an effluent design flow of 0.033 MGD and is classified as a minor municipal discharger under the federal Clean Water Act, Section 402, of the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit program. It is assigned NPDES permit number NM0030341. This permit regulates the WTP's "emergency" discharge to an unnamed arroyo and thence to the Gallinas River below the diversion for the Las Vegas municipal reservoir in Segment 20.6.4.220 of the Pecos River Basin according to the State of New Mexico Standards for Interstate and Intrastate Surface Waters, 20.6.4 New Mexico Administrative Code (NMAC). This segment includes the designated uses of irrigation, livestock watering, wildlife habitat, marginal coldwater aquatic life and primary contact.

The NMED performs a certain number of CEIs for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), Region VI, under the NPDES permit program, in accordance with the Federal Clean Water Act. USEPA uses these inspections to determine compliance with the NPDES permit program. This inspection report is based on information provided by the permittee's representatives, observations made by the NMED inspector, electronic DMRs, and records and reports kept by the permittee and/or NMED.

INSPECTION DETAILS:

After arriving at the facility, the inspector conducted an entrance interview with Mr. Don Cole, WTP Manager, where she presented credentials and explained the purpose of the inspection. They toured the facility and an exit interview was conducted with Mr. Cole to present the preliminary findings of the inspection.

TREATMENT SCHEME:

The City of Las Vegas WTP treats surface water diverted from the Gallinas River or groundwater as a backup source. The drinking water treatment process includes disinfection, coagulation, flocculation, sedimentation, and filtration.

Backwash from the filtration filter is sent to the backwash recovery basin to allow solids to settle. Floor drains in the various buildings also discharge to the waste lagoon. The top volume of water is sent back to the inlet feed for recycling and the settled waste is pumped to the concrete-lined waste storage lagoon. The concrete-lined storage lagoon is aerated to further degrade solids and keep the system from going septic. The lagoon is discharged to the Las Vegas Waste Water Treatment Plant (WWTP) via the sewer system. Some changes have been made at the plant, and piping is no longer connected to the 4" Neptune Tru Flow Meter and the emergency overflow at the drainage to the west of the facility.

If the approximately 420,000 gallon lagoon were to overfill, an automated alarm will trigger when the lagoon reaches 2 feet below the overflow. The lagoon could then overflow to an unlined storage depression next to the waste lagoon. If the overflow basin were to overflow, it would discharge via three small pipes to an adjacent earthen drainage ditch and thence to the Gallinas River. There is no method to measure flows.

The WTP operates 24/7. On weekends an operator does a walkthrough of the plant and is on call. Major treatment operations for the WTP have an alarm system and automatic call system for high and low levels, power

loss and equipment failures. The pumps are not alarmed, but a high level alarm exists at the lagoon. The WTP has a standby generator on site.

There has not been an emergency discharge at the facility and discharge flow has not been recorded since 2009.

SLUDGE: Sludge is discharged to the WWTP via the sewer system.

OBSERVATIONS:

Section A - Permit - Overall Rating of "Marginal".

The permit states, in Part I:

"the discharge is located on that water at the following coordinates: Outfall 001: Latitude 35° 39' 0711 North and Longitude 105° 16' 31" West"

Findings for Permit:

On Google Earth, the GPS location as described in the permit for Outfall 001 is located incorrectly across
the road(photo 1). The WTP facility used to discharge on the northwest side to a roadside ditch, changes
have been made to the facility and the current emergency overflow location is now located on the east
side of the facility. A specified location to obtain samples has not been established.

Section C - Operations and Maintenance - Overall Rating of "Marginal".

The permit requires in Part III Section B.3:

"The permittee shall at all times properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control (and related appurtenances) which are installed or used by permittee as efficiently as possible and in a manner which will minimize upsets and discharges of excessive pollutants and will achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit. Proper operation and maintenance also includes adequate laboratory controls and appropriate quality assurance procedures. "

Findings for Operations and Maintenance:

- The facility has a defective brine tank that is leaking salts to the ground and is exposed to stormwater. The leak has not been contained or cleaned up.
- There are no laboratory or quality assurance procedures established to measure flow or to take samples of an emergency discharge.

Section D – Self Monitoring – Overall Rating of "Unsatisfactory".

The permit requires in Part I Final Effluent Limits footnote *3"

"In the case of emergency discharge, the permittee shall collect a sample for evaluation of whole effluent toxicity."

The permit requires in Part II E Discharge Reporting:

"The permittee is required to take a minimum of a one-time representative sample to be taken at the first discharge of emergency backwash discharge for analyses of constituents listed in Application Form 2-C, section V, Part A-C plus hardness."

The permit requires in *Part III.C.5 Monitoring Procedures:*

"Monitoring must be conducted according to test procedures approved under 40 CFR Part 136, unless other test procedures have been specified in this permit or approved by the Regional Administrator. b. The permittee shall calibrate and perform maintenance procedures on all monitoring and analytical instruments at intervals frequent enough to insure accuracy of measurements and shall maintain appropriate records of such activities. c. An adequate analytical quality control program, including the analyses of sufficient standards, spikes, and duplicate samples to insure the accuracy of all required analytical results shall be maintained by the permittee or designated commercial laboratory. c. An adequate analytical quality control program, including the analyses of sufficient

standards, spikes and duplicate samples to insure the accuracy of all required analytical results shall be maintained by the permittee or designated commercial laboratory."

Findings for Self Monitoring:

• The Facility has failed to establish sampling and laboratory procedures. This is a repeat violation of the 2009, 2011, and 2012 inspections.

Section E – Flow Measurement – Overall Rating of "Unsatisfactory".

The permit requires, in Part III, Section B.6. FLOW MEASUREMENTS:

Appropriate flow measurement devices and methods consistent with accepted scientific practices shall be selected and used to ensure the accuracy and reliability of measurements of the volume of monitored discharges. The devices shall be installed, calibrated, and maintained to insure that the accuracy of the measurements is consistent with the accepted capability of that type of device. Devices selected shall be capable of measuring flows with a maximum deviation of less than 10% from true discharge rates throughout the range of expected discharge volumes.

Findings for Flow Measurement:

• There is no method to measure flow of an emergency discharge.

| NMED/SWQB Official Photograph Log Photo # 1 | | | | | | |
|---|---------------|-----|--|--|--|--|
| Photographer: Google Earth | Time: unknown | | | | | |
| City/County: San Miguel County State: New Mexico | | | | | | |
| Location: Las Vegas Water Treatment Plant | | | | | | |
| | | *** | | | | |



| NMED/SWQB Official Photograph Log Photo # 2 | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Photographer: Jennifer Foote | Date: 6/4/18 | Time: 12:25 pm | | | | |
| City/County: San Miguel County | State: New Mexico | | | | | |
| Location: Las Vegas Water Treatment Plant | | | | | | |
| Subject: Overflow pond outfall location | | | | | | |

